

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4 Federal Trade Commission

Case No.: 2:12-cv-00536-GMN-VCF

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER

6 v.

7 AMG SERVICES, INC., et al
8

9 Before the Court is Defendant Don E. Brady's Motion to Join Agreed Motion for
10 Extension of Time for Defendants to Respond to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction and
11 Other Equitable Relief (Doc. No. 12).
12

13 On April 11, 2012, several defendants filed an unopposed motion to extend time to
14 respond to the plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction. (Doc. No. 12). Defendant Robert D.
15 Campbell filed a joinder to the motion on April 12, 2012. (Doc. No. 14). Defendant Partner
16 Weekly, LLC filed a motion for extension on April 13, 2012. (Doc. No. 16). Defendants The
17 Muir Law Firm, LLC and Timothy J. Muir filed a joinder to defendants' motion (Doc. No. 12)
18 on April 13, 2012. (Doc. No. 17). Defendants Kim C. Tucker and Park 269, LLC filed a joinder
19 to defendants' motion (Doc. No. 12) on April 16, 2012. (Doc. No. 19).
20

21 On April 13, 2012, the Court issued an order granting defendants' motions to extend time
22 to respond to plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (#12 and #16), and extending the
23 response deadline to May 4, 2012. (#18). The Court held that good cause existed to grant the
24 extension, because (1) plaintiff agreed to the extension, (2) counsel for defendants needed time to
25 review the complex and lengthy filings in this action, (3) the parties are interested in meeting in
26 advance to explore alternatives to litigation, and (4) the extension would provide certain defense
27
28


1 counsel the ability to evaluate the appropriate way to resolve the issue of whether defendant is a
2 properly named defendant. *Id.*

3 On April 17, 2012, defendant Don E. Brady filed the present motion to join defendants'
4 motion (#12) to extend time to respond to plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction.
5 Defendant Don E. Brady asks this Court to grant them an extension of time, up to and including,
6 May 4, 2012, in which to file their response to the motion for preliminary injunction. *Id.* Based
7 on the Court's findings in its April 13, 2012 Order, good cause exists to grant defendant Don E.
8 Brady's request for extension.
9

10 Accordingly, and for good cause shown,

11 **IT IS ORDERED** that defendant Don E. Brady's Response to Plaintiff's
12 Motion For Preliminary Injunction and Other Relief (#4) is due on or before May 4, 2012.
13

14 **DATED** this 17th day of April, 2012.

15
16 
17 _____
18 Gloria M. Navarro
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28